

COURSE SUMMARY REPORT

Numeric Responses

Univ. of Washington, Bothell Engineering and Mathematics Engineering and Mathematics Term: Summer 2015

Responses: 1/1 (100%)

B EE 496 B Capstone Project In Electrical Engineering II

Taught by: Nicole Hamilton, Arnold Berger Instructor Evaluated: Nicole Hamilton

Overall Summative Rating represents the combined responses of students to the four global summative items and is presented to provide an overall index of the class's quality:

Median	College Decile
5.0	9
(0=lowest; 5=highest)	(0=lowest; 9=highest)

Evaluation Delivery: Online

Evaluation Form: D

Challenge and Engagement Index (CEI) combines student responses to several *IASystem* items relating to how academically challenging students found the course to be and how engaged they were:

CEI: 7.0	
(1=lowest; 7=highest)	

SUMMATIVE ITEMS

	N	Excellent G	Very àood (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Very Poor (0)	Median		LE RANK College
The course as a whole was:	1	100%						5.0	9	9
The course content was:	1	100%						5.0	9	9
The instructor's contribution to the course was:	1	100%						5.0	9	9
The instructor's effectiveness in teaching the subject matter was:	1	100%						5.0	9	9

STUDENT ENGAGEMENT

								luch igher			Average			Much Lower		DECI	LE RANK
Relative t	to other c	ollege co	urses you	have tak	en:				(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	Median		College
Do you ex	Do you expect your grade in this course to be:							00%							7.0	9	9
The intelle	The intellectual challenge presented was:						1 1	00%							7.0	9	9
The amou	int of effor	t you put i	nto this co	urse was:			1 1	00%							7.0	9	9
The amou	int of effor	t to succe	ed in this c	ourse was	:		1 1	00%							7.0	9	9
Your invol etc.) was:	nvolvement in course (doing assignments, attending classes, 1 /as:					1 1	00%							7.0	9	9	
	attending o	classes, d	oing readin	igs, review		his course, writing					Class r	nedia	n: 22.5	Hours	per crec	lit: 7.	5 (N=1)
Under 2	2-3		4-5	6-7	8-9	10-11		12-13		14-15	16	i-17	18-	-19	20-21	22	or more 100%
From the r		0		w many do	you consi	ider were					Class r	nedia	n: 22.5	Hours	per crec	lit: 7.	5 (N=1)
Under 2	2-3		4-5	6-7	8-9	10-11		12-13		14-15	16	-17	18-	-19	20-21	22	or more 100%
What grad	de do you	expect in	this course	?										Cla	ss media	an: 4.() (N=1)
A (3.9-4.0) 100%	A- (3.5-3.8)	B+ (3.2-3.4)	B (2.9-3.1)	B- (2.5-2.8)	C+ (2.2-2.4)	C (1.9-2.1)	C- (1.5-1.8	D) (1.2	-	D (0.9-1.1	D-) (0.7-		E (0.0)	Pas	s Cre	edit	No Credit
In regard	to your ac	ademic pr	ogram, is t	this course	e best desc	cribed as:											(N=1)
-	our major 100%	A dis	stribution r	equirement	An	elective		In ye	our m	inor	Ар	rogram	ı require	ement		Other	



Numeric Responses

STANDARD FORMATIVE ITEMS

		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor		DECII	E RANK
	Ν	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)	Median	Inst	College
Course organization was:	1	100%						5.0	9	9
Sequential presentation of concepts was:	1	100%						5.0	9	
Explanations by instructor were:	1	100%						5.0	9	9
Instructor's ability to present alternative explanations when needed was:	1	100%						5.0	9	9
Instructor's use of examples and illustrations was:	1	100%						5.0	9	9
Quality of questions or problems raised by the instructor was:	1	100%						5.0	9	9
Contribution of assignments to understanding course content was:	1	100%						5.0	9	
Instructor's enthusiasm was:	1	100%						5.0	9	9
Instructor's ability to deal with student difficulties was:	1	100%						5.0	9	9
Answers to student questions were:	1	100%						5.0	9	9
Availability of extra help when needed was:	1	100%						5.0	9	9
Use of class time was:	1	100%						5.0	9	9
Instructor's interest in whether students learned was:	1	100%						5.0	9	9
Amount you learned in the course was:	1	100%						5.0	9	9
Relevance and usefulness of course content were:	1	100%						5.0	9	9
Evaluative and grading techniques (tests, papers, projects, etc.) were:	1	100%						5.0	9	9
Reasonableness of assigned work was:	1	100%						5.0	9	9
Clarity of student responsibilities and requirements was:	1	100%						5.0	9	9



B EE 496 B Capstone Project In Electrical Engineering II

Taught by: Nicole Hamilton, Arnold Berger Instructor Evaluated: Nicole Hamilton

STANDARD OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONS

Was this class intellectually stimulating? Did it stretch your thinking? Why or why not?

1. This class was very intellectually challenging. Most of the information need for this class had to be researched and self taught.

What aspects of this class contributed most to your learning?

1. Nicole's guidance throughout the course was very helpful. Without her guidance our group would have had a much harder time trying to understand what we were supposed to do.

What aspects of this class detracted from your learning?

1. There were no aspects of this class that detracted from my learning.

What suggestions do you have for improving the class?

1. There's not much that can be improved in this class. Perhaps one suggestion is that the group set up weekly team goals to make sure the group is progressing through the task.

Evaluation Delivery: Online Evaluation Form: D Responses: 1/1 (100%)